W.P. no. 923 (SS)2001

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri Sanjay Kaushik, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondent.

Counsel for both the parties have submitted that the controversy raised by means of this writ petitioner is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in writ petition no. 1256(ss)2001 Surat Singh vs. State of U.P. and others and writ petition no. 32 (ss)2001 Mahaprasad vs. State of Uttaranchal and others delivered on 26th July 2004, as such, the present writ petition may be decided in terms of the aforesaid decision.

Keeping in view the aforesaid submission made on behalf of learned counsel for the parties, this writ petition is decided in terms of the decision of this Court in writ petition no. 1256(ss)2001 Surat Singh Vs. State of U.P. and others and writ petition no. 32 (ss)2001 Mahaprasad Vs. State of Uttaranchal and others delivered on 26th July 2004

(**M.M. Ghildiyal, J.)**

19.04.2005:
NCM